

# Salmon Test Site Radiological Monitoring

**Annual Report 2012** 

### Salmon Test Site Lamar County, Mississippi

Analytical Results of
Annual Monitoring - April 2012,
and the
Study for Selected Wells
And Other Surface Samples
January 2012 - December 2012

Includes Comparative Analytical Results
Provided by
DOE, Legacy Management
Grand Junction, CO

Prepared by
Karl A. Barber
Health Physicist Administrative

Environmental Monitoring and Emergency Preparedness Branch
Division of Radiological Health
Mississippi State Department of Health
January 24, 2014

Approved By

Karl Barber, Health Physicist Administrative Environmental Monitoring and Emergency Preparedness Branch

B.J. Smith, Director

Division of Radiological Health

#### Table of Contents

List of Figuresiii
List of Tablesiv
Abbreviations and Notations Used in this Reportv
Preface1
Validity of Information2
Background Information3
Tritium
Safe Drinking Water Standards for Radioactive Materials17
2012 STS Environmental Monitoring18
Chronology of Sampling Events19
Tritium Analysis19
Gamma Isotopic Analysis23
Field Chemistry Taken During Pumping of Wells26
Study of the HMH Series and Surface Water28
Thermoluminescent Environmental Dosimetry30
Appendix31

#### List of Figures

Figure	1,	Location Map4
Figure	2,	Test Cavity and Aquifers at the Salmon Test Site5
Figure	3,	SGZ, Downhole Wells and Other Onsite Sample Locations.10
Figure	4,	Some of the Offsite Sampling Locations
Figure	5,	Onsite Sampling Locations14
Figure	6,	Tritium Trend Chart for Selected Sample Points15

#### List of Tables

Table	1.	Onsite Sample Tritium Analysis
		Surface Ground Zero Wells20
		Other Deep Wells20
		Surface Water21
Table	2.	Offsite Sample Tritium Analysis
		City Wells22
		Other Samples22
Table	3.	Onsite Sample Gamma Analysis
		Surface Ground Zero Wells23
		Other Deep Wells24
		Surface Water and Surficial Aquifer24
Table	4.	Offsite Sample Gamma Analysis
		City Wells25
		Other Samples25
Table	5.	Field Chemistry
		Surface Ground Zero Wells26
Table	б.	Study of the HMH Series and Surface Water
		HMH Series28
		Surface Water29

#### Abbreviations and Notations

AEC - U.S. Atomic Energy Commission DOE - U.S. Department of Energy - Energy Research and Development Administration ERDA - U.S. Environmental Protection Agency EPA NCRP - National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements - Half Moon Creek HMC - Half Moon Creek Overflow Pond HMCOP IT- International Technologies Corporation - Liter  $_{
m LLD}$ - Lower Limit of Detection - Long-Term Hydrological Monitoring Program LTHMP Dup - Duplicate NA - Not applicable ND- No data provided NS - No sample collected NSD - Sample collected by DRH; Broken during transport to DRH - Picocuries per liter = 10<sup>-12</sup> curies per liter pCi/L PWGZ - Pond West of Ground zero SGZ - Surface Ground Zero - Tritium <sup>137</sup>Cs - Cesium-137 E-7- Equipment Well #7 - Hydrological Monitoring Holes- 1 through 16 HMH-# HM-L,-L2 - Hydrological Monitoring Well - Local Aquifer HM-S - Hydrological Monitoring Well - Surficial Aquifer HM-1- Hydrological Monitoring Well - Aguifer 1 HM-2a - Hydrological Monitoring Well - Aquifer 2a HM-2b - Hydrological Monitoring Well - Aquifer 2b HM-3- Hydrological Monitoring Well - Aquifer 3 HT-# - Hydrological Test Well -2c, -4, -5 SA#-##-X - Source Area 1 to 5 - sequential well number - Aquifer

#### Preface

This report compiles the data from the April 2012 annual monitoring of the Salmon Test Site (STS), formerly called the Tatum Salt Dome Test Site (TSDTS), in Lamar County, Mississippi. Additionally, it contains data from the year 2012 continuation of the study of selected wells and surface locations. The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) had representation onsite during the April monitoring period. Samples from the annual monitoring were split between the Division of Radiological Health (DRH) and the DOE contractor, Stoller. To the extent available, data from DOE's contract lab for the monitoring periods are included.

The analytical results identify tritium as the only radionuclide identified above the DRH lower limit of detection and not routinely found at those levels in environmental samples. However, no tritium level above the EPA drinking water standard (20,000 pCi/L) was detected in a potable (i.e., suitable for drinking) water source. Additionally, the overall tritium concentration continues to decrease consistent with radioactive decay and dilution in the absence of new sources of significant tritium activity.

DOE transferred the surface site to the State of Mississippi on December 15, 2010, renaming it the Jamie Whitten Forest Management Area. During 2010, 360 tree samples were taken and analyzed in preparation for this transfer. The Mississippi Forestry Commission now manages the site.

#### Validity of Information

The Division of Radiological Health (DRH), Environmental Monitoring Laboratory is NELAC (National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Conference) certified by the State of Florida's accrediting body. The Laboratory is also using DOE's Mixed Analyte Performance Evaluation Program (MAPEP) for its laboratory proficiency testing. As a participant in this program, DRH analyzes unknown, simulated environmental samples provided by MAPEP and reports its results directly to them.

In most cases covered by this report, DRH and Stoller shared either duplicate or split samples. Some of the data reflect instances when samples were neither split nor duplicated. In many cases, sample results are less than the Lower Limit of Detection (LLD) for the analytical technique and equipment. For example, for tritium the LLD is approximately 300 picocuries per liter (pCi/L) during routine DRH analysis, and 300 to 400 pCi/L for the contract lab's analysis. Concentrations that fall below the LLD are reported "<LLD".

The contract lab performs some analyses using a "Tritium Enrichment" technique, in which tritium is concentrated by electrolysis. This data can be noted by numbers expressed in quotes, in a fashion similar to: " $94.7 \pm 6.44$ ", and are generally less than 300 pCi/L as samples showing higher results during routine analysis are not enriched.

## Background Information The Salmon Test Site, Tritium, and the Role of the Division of Radiological Health

The Salmon Test Site (STS) is located in the piney woods area of the gulf coastal plain near Hattiesburg, Mississippi (Fig. 1). The salt dome at STS is an almost circular dome, 1500 meters (5000 feet) in diameter. The salt is 460 meters (1500 feet) below the ground surface. The salt in the dome is 90% NaCl (commonly called halite) and 10% CaSO4 (commonly called anhydrite).

#### Project Dribble and Project Miracle Play

During the 1960s the Department of Defense and the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission (AEC) conducted Projects Dribble and Miracle Play in the geological structure known as the Tatum Salt Dome in Lamar County, Mississippi. Project Dribble consisted of two nuclear detonations, and the Miracle Play series consisted of two methane and oxygen gas explosions. All four of the shots were a part of the Department of Defense's Vela-Uniform Project. The STS test cavity contains fission and activation products from the detonations (Fig. 2).

#### Detonations, Nuclear

The first detonation at STS was the <u>Salmon Event</u>. It occurred on October 22, 1964. Its yield was estimated at the time to be 5.3 ± 0.5 kilotons. Note: Some intra-office correspondence indicates a yield closer to 8 kilotons, which may have been determined from later calculations. A search of the scientific literature has not confirmed this higher value. The device was detonated at a depth of 826 meters (2710 feet) below surface ground zero (SGZ) and created a cavity in the salt 17 meters (55 feet) in radius.

The second detonation was the <u>Sterling Event</u>; originally to be detonated in a mined cavity on the site. However, failure of two separate attempts to set a large diameter casing to the salt forced a change in plans. The device was placed in the cavity formed by the Salmon Event, and detonated on December 3, 1966. It was calculated to have a 380-ton yield.

#### Detonations, Non-Nuclear

Two methane/oxygen gas detonations took place in the original Salmon/Sterling cavity. These were called, collectively, "Miracle Play." The first shot, February 2, 1969, was called <u>Diode Tube</u> and was estimated to have a 315-ton TNT-equivalence. The second shot, <u>Humid Water</u>, detonated April 19, 1970, also had a 315-ton TNT-equivalence.




Figure 1, Location Map




Figure 2, Test Cavity and Aquifers at the Salmon Test Site

#### Other Site Activities

In preparation for the second nuclear shot and the following gas shots, a plant to process and store radioactive liquids, gases, and solids was built onsite near SGZ. This facility, known as the Bleeddown Plant, processed some of the soil and drilling mud, and all of the liquids and gases.

From March through July of 1965, Aquifer 5 was injected with 7600 liters (2000 gallons) of 15% hydrochloric acid in water solution through Well HT-2 (Fig. 2). This acidic solution effectively dissolved the formation within the Cook Mountain Limestone aquifer near the wellbore, allowing more efficient injection of the wastewater. Following this, 1,279,000 liters (337,900 gallons) of contaminated water were injected. At sampling, this water contained 38 curies of beta-gamma emitters and 3253 curies of tritium. A last injection of 340,700 liters (90,000 gallons) of fresh water was made at 414 kPa (60 psi).

#### Site Decommissioning/Decontamination Limits

AEC contractors from Las Vegas, Nevada conducted the site cleanup from May 1971 through June 1972. Reports indicated that even though locally inclement conditions hampered the clean-up effort, the site was left radiologically "clean," consistent with the following limits imposed by the AEC as final clean up criteria:

Surface	Water	300,000	pCi/liter	r tritium	
Soil		1,000	pCi/gram	tritium	
		10	pCi/gram	beta-gamm	na emitter
		1	pCi/gram	alpha emi	tters

The Division of Radiological Health of the Mississippi State Department of Health has been directly involved with STS projects of the Atomic Energy Commission, the Energy Research and Development Administration (ERDA) and the Department of Energy since early 1974.

The reason for the involvement of the Division was the potential for accidental release of waste injected into deep formations (note the fact that radioactively contaminated water had begun surfacing from AEC monitoring well HT-2m). Division activities also address our concerns regarding the potential impact by intentional or natural incursions into the cavity on groundwater onsite and offsite. The isotope of concern has been tritium. In September 1974, an ad hoc committee was formulated at the request of the Division to assist in the evaluation of U. S. Government activities at the then Tatum Salt Dome Test Site. That committee was the Tatum Salt Dome Advisory Committee.

The Division has been involved only with the radiological matters at the STS. It has also acted as a state clearinghouse for distribution of information received from the various federal agencies involved with the Salmon Project. From 1974 to 1990, the Division unofficially acted as the coordinator for Mississippi's involvement at the STS. In 1990, the Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ) became the official coordinator for clean-up activities at STS, and in 2000, that responsibility was officially transferred to the Mississippi State Department of Health.

Due to the possibility of further radiological contamination from HT-2m, the Division had personnel assigned onsite during the HT-2m plugback in August 1975, and the reconditioning of wells HT-1 and Ascot Oil Co. No. 2 Bass Well near Baxterville. The Division also performed tritium analyses on sample splits taken by EPA during the 1975 Salmon operations. Sampling frequency was increased after the HT-2m plugback.

During the April 1977 sample collection period, the EPA collected some special soil and water samples in the area east of surface ground zero (SGZ) and west of the Half Moon Creek Overflow. The Division did not receive samples from that particular soil and water sampling. In July 1977, the Division was apprised of the fact that EPA detected significant levels of tritium in the samples collected in April. A monitoring program was developed to determine the areal and vertical extent, and magnitude of the tritium contamination. Since that time, radiological samples collected by EPA or the Division have been, with few exceptions, splits or duplicates shared between the agencies.

During September and October 1977, representatives of the Division and the Mississippi State Geological Survey (now incorporated into MDEQ) assisted EPA in the collection of soil and water samples from some 130 hand-augered holes in the area of SGZ. The Division of Radiological Health analyzed splits of the water samples.

After analysis of the data, members of the Tatum Salt Dome Advisory Committee and other interested members of state government determined that additional hand-augered wells should be drilled and a series of shallow water table monitoring holes emplaced. One hole was to be drilled and a well completed in the local aquifer in the SGZ area. Water samples and soil samples collected during the drilling of PS-3 were split with the Division and analyzed for tritium. For various reasons, monitor well PS-3 was never completed.

In September 1978, a meeting of the Tatum Salt Dome Advisory Committee discussed NVO-200, "Special Study-Tatum Dome Test Site-Final Report," and the role of the Department of Energy, Nevada

Operations Office, with respect to future activities at STS. The Committee determined that the incomplete PS-3 was of no use and should be completed or preferably replaced.

In October 1978, the Mississippi Mineral Resources Institute (MMRI), at the request of State Senator Dale Ford, asked that the Division prepare a synopsis of DRH activities and radioassay results relative to the Tatum Salt Dome (TSD). The information supplied by DRH and Mississippi State Geological Survey (MSGS) and compiled by MMRI showed the geohydrology of the TSD was highly uncertain. There was a question concerning the dome's integrity and the cavity status itself was in doubt.

A Technical Advisory Committee to Senator Ford and the Senate Oil and Gas Committee had a DRH staff member as a representative. Representatives from MSGS and MMRI constituted the remainder of the committee. The input of the DRH representative concerned only radiological matters. DOE and the Technical Committee conducted several meetings between December 6, 1978, and January 19, 1979. On January 19, 1979, the DOE committed to performing an extensive re-evaluation of the status of the STS in general, with a focus on water and game pathways to man.

#### Surface Ground Zero (SGZ) Well Depths

In 1979, a group of wells reaching the aquifers (Fig. 2) above the dome were drilled, developed, and pumped. One of the original SGZ wells, PS-3 at 43.3 meters (142 feet), was plugged. Current well depths are as follows:

Surficial Aquifer	9 meters (30 ft.)
Local Aquifer	62.2 meters (204 ft.)
	[aquifer extends
	from 46 to 76 meters]
Local Aquifer	61 meters (200 ft.)
Aquifer 1	126 meters (415 ft.)
Aquifer 2a	164 meters (537 ft.)
Aquifer 2b	213 meters (700 ft.)
Aquifer 3	267 meters (875 ft.)
	Local Aquifer  Local Aquifer  Aquifer 1  Aquifer 2a  Aquifer 2b

#### REECo Pit

Between 1977 and 1979, during the augering program to collect soil samples and their subsequent analyses, the results indicated notable levels of tritium near the SGZ area. At that time, another area onsite was identified that had not previously shown tritium results. This location was some 640 meters (2100 feet) from SGZ (Fig. 3). A literature search indicated that this location had been a disposal pit for the Reynolds Electrical and Engineering Company (REECo). This resulted in the addition of three monitoring

points within the old pit to the Long Term Hydrologic Monitoring Program.

Tritium levels at this pit were unremarkable until 1983, when the level increased from the 1000-2000 pCi/L range to approximately 12,000 pCi/L at one location. In 1984, levels returned to the previous range of values.

DRH requested information from the U.S. Department of Energy about the use of the pit in January 1984. Their response identified the pit as a borrow pit, originally used to fill in other excavations and then for storage of drilling mud. During decommissioning and site cleanup, the pit was used for the disposal of noncombustible materials and uncontaminated tools and equipment. They described the pit as filled to capacity, the area filled-in and grass planted.

Over the years, this pit has eroded and now has a gully 3.7 to 4.6 meters (12 to 15 feet) deep in places. The erosion exposed bricks and concrete fill. Water flows through the pit during high rainfall months. A natural spring is present at one end of this pit; based upon continued sampling, it does not appear to ever been contaminated by tritium. Cows and wildlife have been observed using this spring for water. Water flows through the pit, emptying into a small brook somewhat distant from the pit; it also appears to be uncontaminated, beyond any contribution from the pit water.

#### Senator Trent Lott's Requests

During the fall of 1989, Senator Trent Lott asked DOE to address concerns regarding security needs at SGZ and assess contaminants at the REECo pits. He also asked DOE to perform an epidemiological cancer study in Lamar County, and evaluate the adequacy of their exchange of information with residents in the STS area. As a result, DOE increased the amount of information it makes available to area residents and performed an environmental study of site conditions. The cancer study was conducted, but was inconclusive.




Figure 3, SGZ Downhole Wells and Other Onsite Sample Locations

#### New Wells on the Salmon Test Site

From the late summer 1995 until January 1997, 29 new wells were drilled, 15 shallow wells (less than 18 meters [59 feet]) and 14 deep wells of varying depth (50.3 to 640 m [165 to 2100 ft]). These wells were drilled both to detect contamination and to characterize the direction of water flow in the aquifers around the Tatum Salt Dome. These wells are the SA# series wells and are a part of the annual sampling. During the 1998 annual sampling of the shallow wells, SA1-1-H well produced tritium analyses results greater than 30,000 pCi/L. This well samples the Half Moon Creek alluvium and is not a potable water source. In December 1998, 70 shallow holes were direct-pushed to repeat the September/October 1977 special study. Division staff and IT Corporation took duplicate water samples.

In early 2002, four more wells were drilled while many of the earlier wells were plugged and abandoned as part of the Restoration Plan. This left the Long Term Hydrological Monitoring Program (LTHMP) with 28 wells (12 shallow and 16 deep) and 6 surface-water sampling points. In late 2007, all but the two deepest wells were converted to low flow pumps.

#### Private Wells, Community Water Supplies and Offsite Monitoring

Since March 1980, the annual joint sampling, by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and the Division of Radiological Health, has included private and public supply wells (Fig. 4). The specific public water supplies sampled are Baxterville, Lumberton, Purvis, and Hub Water Association.

During the 1990 annual joint sampling of private wells, the offsite monitoring was expanded to include, not only water, but also meat, milk, vegetables and other food products for human consumption. Its intent was to examine the various pathways by which tritium, as well as other radionuclides, could be ingested.

During the 2002 Annual joint sampling, EPA and DRH notified residents that individual private well samples would no longer be collected. This was because the DOE had provided assistance to the county for the installation of public water lines to supply all area residents. The offsite sampling was reduced to the collection of samples from area creeks, ponds and public water suppliers (Table 2).

In 2009, the DOE unilaterally discontinued offsite sampling, but added sampling points for water entering and leaving the site. It is DRH's intention to continue to sample water from Baxterville, N. Lumberton, Purvis and Hub Water Association.




Figure 4, Some of the Offsite Sampling Locations

#### The Study Currently Underway At Salmon

During the November 1983 annual sampling, a number of monitored locations had elevated levels of tritium. A number of explanations were discussed, including the most likely explanation that it was due to the dry conditions onsite. Tritium concentration tends to be high during drier months of the year (usually summer and fall) due to less water saturation by rainfall. To evaluate this hypothesis, or assist in the development of a more effective one, an automated rain gauge was installed near the site on February 12, 1992 to accurately measure rainfall. Either DRH or EPA measured rainfall into the year 2000. Weather monitoring has ended at the site, the eight years of data having confirmed the theory sufficiently.

After the April 1984 sampling, a "special study" was begun by the Division of Radiological Health with the concurrence of DOE. This study focused on the HMH Series, the Overflow Pond near SGZ, Half Moon Creek, the Pond West of Ground Zero (Beaver Pond), HM-S, and the REECo Pit (Fig. 3 and 5). Samples were collected monthly in an ongoing study of tritium levels.

Results of this program have been quite consistent, with a definite trend downward for tritium concentrations (Fig. 6). The sampling frequency was reduced to quarterly when the April 1990 cooperative sampling results did not show a reversal of this trend.

In February of 2002, the HMH series were plugged and abandoned as part of the Site Restoration Plan. Wells that are more reliable replaced three of these holes and several had already become redundant with the SA# series wells.




Figure 5, Onsite Sampling Locations

#### TREND CHART




Figure 6, Tritium Trend Chart for Selected Sample Points. NOTE: The spike in the HMH-5 data at April 2003 is from the replacement well HMH-5R that is 20 ft deeper than the original 10ft hole.

#### Tritium

Since the start of the STS environmental monitoring program, tritium has been the principal radionuclide of concern.

Tritium is a radioactive isotope of hydrogen. An isotope is one of several alternate physical forms an atom of a chemical element may have while retaining its chemical properties. The most abundant form of hydrogen is radiologically stable, and has one electron in its outer shell and one proton in its nucleus. next isotope of hydrogen is also stable and called deuterium. Deuterium has one electron in its outer shell, and one proton and one neutron in its nucleus. Deuterium when chemically combined with oxygen to form water is called "heavy water." isotope of hydrogen, tritium, also has one electron in its outer shell and one proton in its nucleus; however, in addition to the proton, it has two neutrons in the nucleus, the second neutron causes it to be radioactive. Tritium decays with a half-life of Note: A half-life is the time it takes for half of 12.34 years. the radioactive atoms present to decay away.

Despite a short half-life, tritium occurs naturally in the environment because cosmic radiation generates it in the upper atmosphere. The production rate in the atmosphere is about six million curies per year, which through rainfall causes a tritium inventory in the oceans and other surface waters of the world of about one hundred million curies.<sup>1</sup>

The curie is the unit for radioactivity. One curie is the activity of a sample whose radioactive atoms are undergoing  $2.22~\rm X$   $10^{12}$  disintegrations per minute (dpm) or, 2.22 trillion nuclear transformations per minute.

Before the natural tritium concentration in our lakes and oceans had been accurately determined, weapons tests released large quantities of tritium, which completely overshadowed the natural levels. Since the nuclear testing through 1963 added 1900 megacuries (1 megacurie = 1 million curies) to the northern hemisphere, the natural radioactivity has been completely masked $^2$ ; the equilibrium inventory in each hemisphere is estimated to be 14 megacuries. The results reported from STS sample analyses are in picocuries ( $10^{-12}$  curies, one-trillionth of a curie, or 1/1,000,000,000,000,000 of a curie).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>U.S. Atomic Energy Commission Report "Sources of Tritium and Its Behavior Upon Release to the Environment." D.G. Jacobs, TID-24635, 1968.

NCRP Report #45 "Natural Background Radiation in the United States." National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, November 15, 1975, page 33.

#### Safe Drinking Water Standards for Radioactive Materials

The United States Environmental Protection Agency has established maximum contaminant levels in the Safe Drinking Water Regulations: "The average annual concentration of beta particle-emitting man-made radionuclides in drinking water shall not produce an annual dose equivalent to the total body or any internal organ greater than four milliRem per year." Twenty thousand picocuries per liter (20,000 pCi/L) of tritium in "finished" drinking water if taken internally at the rate of two liters per day for a year, equals the average annual concentration assumed to produce a total body dose equivalent of four milliRem per year.

The groundwater in some aquifers at the STS is non-potable (i.e., due to its brackish nature not suitable for drinking or cooking); therefore, this standard does not apply directly to them. However, the Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality does apply the drinking water standard to all Mississippi water sources.

In the absence of any other more appropriate standards, it has also been applied to tritium concentrations in game, fish and vegetation samples. The part that naturally occurring tritium plays in this report is difficult to determine. Members of the DRH staff have estimated that any amount of tritium that might possibly be detected in offsite wells will be quite small, and was probably produced from natural causes and/or the atmospheric testing of nuclear weapons.

The Mississippi State Department of Health and other regulatory agencies continue to urge the responsible federal agencies to establish standards for such other environmental components and contaminants that they have not promulgated.

#### 2012 STS Environmental Monitoring

The Division of Radiological Health (MSDH/DRH) and the U.S. Department of Energy contractor, Stoller, began the 2012 annual monitoring for the STS on April 16, 2012. Federal and State personnel present during the 2012 sampling included:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Affiliation</u>
Jack Duray	Stoller
Tom Welton	Stoller
Tim Zirbes	Stoller
Cassie Gauthier	Stoller
Jeff Walters	Stoller
David Atkinson	Stoller
Karl Barber	MSDH/DRH
Pamela Moore	MSDH/DRH

#### Chronology of Sampling Events

After the 2007 sampling, EPA, Las Vegas lost the sampling contract for the Salmon Test Site. The DOE contractor Stoller has taken over the primary sampling responsibility during the annual sampling. A contract lab now analyzes the Stoller samples for radiological constituents.

Stoller rented electric generators to power the air pumps for the low flow sampling system and the electric pumps in SA5-4-4 and SA5-5-4 on April 16, 2012. The sampling of wells started on the site around noon of the 16<sup>th</sup> and continued through April 18. Pumping of Well SA5-4-4 began about 11:47 a.m., April 16, 2012. Pumping continued on all wells until field chemistry data (temperature, conductivity, and pH) stabilized to ensure the sample was representative of the aquifer. On April 18, surface water samples (i.e., PWGZ, HMC and HMCOP) were taken.

Wells SA5-4-4 and SA5-5-4 were pumped down and sampled on April  $16^{\rm th}$  and  $17^{\rm th}$ . DOE discontinued the sampling of private ponds and city wells offsite at the 2009 sampling in favor of sampling streams as they entered and left the site. DRH took samples from public suppliers on April  $19^{\rm th}$ .

Other areas sampled included Pond West of Ground Zero (Beaver Pond), Half Moon Creek (at three points), Half Moon Creek Overflow Pond, Hickory Hollow Creek, Grantham Creek, and water flowing into and through the old REECo Pit.

Radiological data detailing tritium and gamma isotopic concentrations onsite and offsite is presented on the following pages.

#### Tritium Analysis

During the April 2012 Annual Monitoring, onsite and offsite locations were sampled for tritium analyses. These analyses identified locations onsite that were above background. No offsite locations showed tritium levels above background. The contract used enrichment techniques only on selected samples.

Table 1. Onsite Sample Tritium Analysis

	DOE ANALYSIS Activity ± Error (pCi/L)
<u>Ls</u>	
<lld< td=""><td>"5.8±2.2"</td></lld<>	"5.8±2.2"
<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""></lld<>
<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""></lld<>
<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""></lld<>
782±226	606±229
<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""></lld<>
<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""></lld<>
<lld< td=""><td>"2.5±1.6"</td></lld<>	"2.5±1.6"
<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""></lld<>
	(pCi/L)  LS <lld <lld="" <lld<="" td=""></lld>

Table 1. Onsite Sample Tritium Analysis (Contd.)

<u>Location</u> Surficial Aquifer	Date/Time		DOE Analysis Activity ± Error (pCi/L)
SA1-1-H	04-16 133	5 3361±585	3240±736
SA1-2-H	04-16 144	5 332±174	281±168
SA1-3-H	04-18 150	6 237±170	301±170
SA1-4-H	04-18 115	9 <lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""></lld<>
SA1-5-H	04-16 181	5 <lld< td=""><td>"110±31"</td></lld<>	"110±31"
SA1-6-H	04-18 143	7 <lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""></lld<>
SA1-7-H	04-18 134	2 <lld< td=""><td>"7.4±3.5″</td></lld<>	"7.4±3.5″
SA1-12-H	04-18 111	2 <lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""></lld<>
SA3-4-H	04-18 134	2 <lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""></lld<>
HMH-5R	04-18 101	0 589±203	847±274
HMH-16R	04-18 122	7 <lld< td=""><td>"14.3±4.9"</td></lld<>	"14.3±4.9"
HM-S	04-17 133	0 273±170	294±171
Surface Water			
	04-18 091	0 <lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""></lld<>
(HMC) HMC Entry	04-17 110	5 <lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""></lld<>
HMC Leaving Site	04-17 140	O <lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""></lld<>
Half Moon Ck. Overflow (HMCOP)	04-18 141	O <lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""></lld<>
Pond West	04-18 125	0 <lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""></lld<>
of Ground Zero Grantham Creek	04-18 093	5 <lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""></lld<>
Hickory Hollow Creek	04-18 134	0 <lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""></lld<>

Table 2. Offsite Sample Tritium Analysis

To see I I am	The first Amilian	_	DRH Analysis Activity ± Error				
Location	Date/Time	2 _	(pCi/L)	(pCi/L)			
Public Water Supplies	Public Water Supplies						
Baxterville	4-19-12	0845	<lld< td=""><td>NS</td></lld<>	NS			
Hub Water Assoc. (collected from	4-19-12 m the Bay	0905 Creek St	<lld< td=""><td>NS</td></lld<>	NS			
N. Lumberton W.A.	4-19-12	0827	<lld< td=""><td>NS</td></lld<>	NS			
Purvis	4-19-12	0745	<lld< td=""><td>NS</td></lld<>	NS			

#### Gamma Isotopic Analysis

During the April 2012 Annual Monitoring, onsite and offsite locations were sampled for gamma isotopic analyses. These analyses identified naturally occurring radionuclides, whose sample concentrations were negligible. No other radionuclides were detected. Samples analysis results are detailed below.

The Lower Limit of Detection (LLD) for Cs-137 for the Mississippi State Department of Health is 8 pCi/L. The LLD for gamma spectroscopy by the contract lab is generally 5 pCi/L for most common radionuclides in routine milk and water samples, in a simple spectrum.

Table 3. Onsite Sample Gamma Analysis

Surface Ground Zero Wells						
	_Date/Time	DRH Analysis Activity ± Error (pCi/L)	DOE Analysis Activity ± Error (pCi/L)			
HM-1	4-17-12 1225	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""></lld<>			
HM-2A	4-16-12 1555	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""></lld<>			
HM-2B	4-17-12 1100	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""></lld<>			
HM-3	4-16-12 1730	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""></lld<>			
HM-L	4-17-12 1430	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""></lld<>			
HM-L2	4-16-12 1245	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""></lld<>			
Other Dee	p Well					
E-7 (Caprock	4-16-12 1645	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""></lld<>			
SA1-8-L	4-17-12 1149	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""></lld<>			
SA1-11-3	4-17-12 1102	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""></lld<>			
SA2-1-L	4-18-12 0956	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""></lld<>			
SA2-2-L	4-17-12 1546	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""></lld<>			
SA2-4-L	4-17-12 1313	<lld< td=""><td>4.4±2.7 Cs-137</td></lld<>	4.4±2.7 Cs-137			
SA3-11-3	4-17-12 0954	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""></lld<>			
SA4-5-L	4-16-12 1500	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""></lld<>			
SA5-4-4	4-16-12 1855	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""></lld<>			
SA5-5-4	4-17-11 1600	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""></lld<>			

Table 3. Onsite Sample Gamma Analysis (Contd.)

Location	Date/tin	<u>ne</u>	DRH Analysis Activity ± Error (pCi/L)	DOE Analysis Activity ± Error (pCi/L)
Surface Water	and Surfi	cial	Aquifer	
HM-S	4-17-12	1330	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""></lld<>
SA1-12-H	4-18-12	1112	<lld< td=""><td>NS</td></lld<>	NS
Half Moon Creek (HMC)	4-18-12	0910	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""></lld<>
HMC Entry	4-17-12	1105	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""></lld<>
HMC Exit	4-17-12	1400	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""></lld<>
Half Moon Ck. Overflow (HMCOP)	4-18-12	1410	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""></lld<>
Pond West of Ground Zero (PWGZ)	4-18-12	1250	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""></lld<>
Grantham Creek	4-18-12	0935	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""></lld<>
Hickory Hollow Creek	4-18-12	1340	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""></lld<>

Table 4. Offsite Sample Gamma Analysis

Location	<u>Date/Time</u>	DRH Analysis Activity ± Error (pCi/L)	DOE Analysis Activity ± Error (pCi/L)			
Public Water Supplies						
Baxterville	4-19-12 0845	<lld< td=""><td>NS</td></lld<>	NS			
	4-19-12 0905 cted from the	<lld Thompson Store)</lld 	NS			
N. Lumberton	4-19-12 0827	<lld< td=""><td>NS</td></lld<>	NS			
Purvis	4-19-12 0745	<lld< td=""><td>NS</td></lld<>	NS			

#### Field Chemistry Taken during Pumping of Wells

Chemical parameters were measured during sampling of all the wells for tritium and gamma isotopic analyses to ensure the final sample was formational water from the Aquifer. Thus, in pumping the wells, it was important that the chemistry of the water stabilized before taking the final sample. The wells were pumped long enough to clear the pump line before taking the first chemistry sample. Conductivity (in  $\mu \text{Siemens/cm})$ , pH and water temperature were measured at three-minute intervals.

Table 5. Field Chemistry

Surface Ground Zero Wells

<u>HM-1</u>	4-17-12	<u>1157 Start</u>
Time	рН	Conductivity Temperature
1214 1218 1221	8.76 8.77 8.77	215 21.3°C 215 21.3°C 215 21.3°C
<u>HM-2A</u>	4-16-12	1506 Start
Time	рН	Conductivity Temperature
1542 1547 1550	7.16 7.20 7.21	149 21.0°C 149 21.0°C 149 21.0°C
<u>HM-2B</u>	4-17-12	1002 Start
Time	рН	Conductivity Temperature
1051 1054 1057	9.32 9.33 9.34	469 21.0°C 470 21.0°C 471 21.0°C
<u>HM-3</u>	4-16-12	1635 Start
Time	рн	Conductivity Temperature
1723 1726 1729	9.26 9.27 9.28	129020.9°C129020.8°C129020.8°C

Table 5. Field Chemistry (Contd.)

#### Surface Ground Zero Wells (Contd.)

	<u>HM-L</u>	4-17-12	<u>1405 Start</u>	
	Time	рН	Conductivity 7	emperature
		8.40 8.45 8.47	648 648 648	20.5°C 20.5°C 20.4°C
Other Wells				
	HM-L2	4-16-12	<u>1153 Start</u>	
	Time	рН	Conductivity	Temperature
	1204 1207 1210	7.48 7.41 7.37	404 404 404	20.2°C 20.2°C 20.2°C
	<u>E-7</u>	4-16-12	<u>1534 Start</u>	
	Time	рН	Conductivity	Temperature
	1606	8.44 8.09 7.90 7.71 7.62 7.54	2251 2272 2281 2292 2300 2307	21.2°C 21.3°C 21.2°C 21.2°C 21.2°C 21.2°C
	SA5-4-4	4-16-12	<u>1147 Start</u>	
	Time	Нд	Conductivity	Temperature
	1846 1849 1852	8.40 8.42 8.43	6067 6640 6645	26.1°C 26.1°C 26.1°C
	<u>SA5-5-4</u>	4-17-12	<u>0849 Start</u>	
	Time	рН	Conductivity	Temperature
	1557 1600 1603	8.50 8.51 8.52	4225 4253 4252	27.0°C 26.9°C 27.1°C

#### Study of Select Wells and Surface Water

During the April 1985 routine sampling, representatives of EPA, DOE, and DRH decided to continue the special study that began in April 1984 and was to terminate in October of 1985. Beginning with the April 1986 data, the DRH has continued this sampling study of the HMH Series, sharing split or duplicate samples with EPA. Also included in this study are other samples: from the HM-S Well, Half Moon Creek, Half Moon Creek Overflow Pond, and Pond West of Ground Zero, and three sampling points along the REECo borrow pit gully. By agreement with DOE, many of the HMH-# wells were discontinued as of April 1999 and will no longer be sampled. In February 2002, the HMH-# wells were plugged and abandoned. Replacing HMH-5 and 16 were HMH-5R and 16R, and SA1-1-H was added to the quarterly sampling to make up for HMH-1 and 2. In early 2010, DOE discontinued funding for the analysis of the EPA duplicates, so they are no longer sent.

The REECo pit sample points may not be available due to lack of water during dry months. Analytical results are detailed below.

Table 6. Study of the HMH Series and Surface Water

#### HMH Series

	<u>(All</u>	Results are	in pCi/L ± 2	Sigma Error	for Tritium)
<u>Date</u>		<u>HMH-5R</u>	<u>HMH-16R</u>	<u>HM-S</u>	<u>SA1-1-H</u>
01-05	-12	1053±366	ns	<lld< td=""><td>5780±1069</td></lld<>	5780±1069
04-18	-12	589±203 847±274	<lld "14.3±4.9"</lld 	273±170 294±171	3361±585 3240±736
08-02	-12	861±232	ns	414±184	3514±609
10-04	-12	733±217	ns	<lld< td=""><td>4031±686</td></lld<>	4031±686

NOTE: The Division of Radiological Health data is listed first, and data from the contract lab, where available, is listed second.

Table 6. Study of the HMH Series and Surface Water

#### Surface Water

#### (All Results are in pCi/L ± 2 Sigma Error for Tritium)

<u>Date</u>	REECo Pit <u>Point A</u>	REECo Pit <u>Point B</u>	REECo Pit <u>Point C</u>
01-05-12	dry	dry	dry
04-17-12	<lld <lld< td=""><td><lld <lld< td=""><td><lld <lld< td=""></lld<></lld </td></lld<></lld </td></lld<></lld 	<lld <lld< td=""><td><lld <lld< td=""></lld<></lld </td></lld<></lld 	<lld <lld< td=""></lld<></lld 
08-02-12	dry	dry	dry
10-04-12	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""></lld<>
<u>Date</u>	<u>HMC</u>	HMCOP	PWGZ
01-05-12	<530	<1100	ND-lab error
04-18-12	<lld <lld< td=""><td><lld <lld< td=""><td><lld <lld< td=""></lld<></lld </td></lld<></lld </td></lld<></lld 	<lld <lld< td=""><td><lld <lld< td=""></lld<></lld </td></lld<></lld 	<lld <lld< td=""></lld<></lld 
08-02-12	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""></lld<>
10-04-12	<500	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""></lld<>

#### Thermoluminescent Environmental Dosimetry

Thermoluminescent dosimeters (TLDs) were placed at 25 locations both onsite and offsite and were exchanged on a quarterly basis with a fresh set. TLDs measure direct radiation. The NCRP has estimated that the average exposure per year in the United States to be 80 milliRem, this translates into roughly 20 milliroentgens per quarter. The TLDs were housed in "cricket cages" approximately 3 feet above the ground. The TLD program was discontinued in July 2010. Historically the results were below the national average.

#### Appendix

Please send questions or comments concerning information contained in this report to the following address:

Mississippi State Department of Health Division of Radiological Health P.O. Box 1700 Jackson, MS 39215-1700



MISSISSIPPI STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

www.HealthyMS.com 1-866-HLTHY4U (1-866-458-4948)