DWSIRLF Loan Program Facilities Plan Checklist

Loan Recipient:			
Project Name/No.:			
Plan Title/Date:Plan Author:			
R	eviewed - Comments		
Project Manager - Date	Engineering Coordinator - Date		
Date Environmental Document Issued:	Date Cleared:		
	APPROVED		
Engine	eering Coordinator - Date		
preparation and review of a Facilities Pl that DWSIRLF Regulation III.A(2) stip portion of the facilities plan as required completion and submission of the faci Design Criteria" means the most recen	pared in accordance with DWSIRLF Regulation III.A for lan that will meet all DWSIRLF requirements. Be reminded pulates that, should an applicant desire to omit or modify a by this guidance, Department approval is required prior to lities plan. Where referenced in this text, "MSDH/BPWS t version of "Recommended Minimum Design Criteria for om the Mississippi State Department of Health, Bureau of		
Is the plan stamped by a Professional III.A.(1)]	Engineer registered in Mississippi? [Re: DWSIRLF Reg.		

If the plan submittal is simply an update to an existing plan, are portions of the old plan	
which are still valid referenced specifically?	
Are other relevant portions updated appropriately?	

II. Purpose and Need

The plan must give a general description of the need for the project in terms of the existing or potential water supply and/or public health problems (DWSIRLF priority categories) listed below:

A.	Compliance with National Primary Drinking Water Standards (NPDWS).	
B.	Only one well and no MSDH approved emergency tie-in to another system.	
C.	Minimum pressure maintenance.	
D.	Source water protection.	
E.	Expansion or new system for unserved areas.	
F.	Insufficient back-up supply.	
G.	Facilities rehabilitation/replacement.	
H.	Compliance with National Secondary Drinking Water Standards.	
I.	Consolidation.	

The plan must include the loan recipient's most recent MSDH Capacity Assessment Form and the Inspection Report, as well as documentation of actions taken to address all deficiencies therein. Examples of such documentation include approved meeting minutes, contracts/invoices, qualified tank inspector's reports, etc. The loan recipient should contact the PM prior to planning in order to coordinate this effort.

If applicable, documentation of participation in MSDH short-term and long-term assistance programs and/or the Drinking Water Needs Survey (DWNS) should also be included.

III. Existing Situation

Note that this section applies to the planning area in general. For specific information on the facility site, see Section VII.

A. General Environment: The plan should describe the planning area in terms of the characteristics described below, including maps as needed.

1.	Planning area location and boundaries (This should be established and concurred	<u> </u>
	with by the Department staff prior to initiation of the facilities plan).	
2.	Groundwater/surface water resources.	
3.	Organizational context (one city, association, district, county only, city-county,	
i	ntercity, etc.).	

Where any portion of the project is located outside the applicant's existing

		11/25/2008
	boundaries, does the plan document that the applicant, and no other entity, has appropriate jurisdiction?	
	If not, does the plan describe actions necessary to obtain such jurisdiction (e.g., annexation, petition for facility certification to be filed with the Public Service Commission, etc.)?	
4.	The zip codes included within service area boundaries.	
5.	Current population of entire service area.	
В.	Existing Drinking Water Facilities: The plan must describe existing facilities and (as compared to MSDH Design Criteria) within the planning area including:	l conditions
1.	Size, location, and type of facilities (include map).	
2.	For source water protection projects, a copy of the Source Water Assessment Protection Report (SWAPR) prepared by MDEQ/ Office of Pollution Control (OPC)/ Groundwater Assessment and Remediation Division (GARD)/ Program Support Branch (PSB)/Technical Support Section (TSS) which identifies the	
2	contaminants of concern and the source water protection area. If a SWAPR needs revision or does not exist for the area, the plan must include sufficient documentation of potential sources of contamination. In all cases, concurrence of the MDEQ/OPC/GARD/PSB/ TSS must be obtained.	
3.	The number of individual connections (including residences, industries, businesses and public buildings) currently experiencing the deficiencies that will be corrected by the planned improvements. Information (including hydraulic analyses, where necessary, or field data such as number of residences in currently unserved areas)	
	to support the number of reported affected connections must be furnished in the Facilities Plan.	
4.	Current flow demand on existing facilities compared to their original hydraulic design capacity. The effect of industries, businesses, and public buildings must be included using MSDH /BPWS Design Criteria.	
	If the system is currently loaded at or above 75% of design capacity (based on the latest MSDH calculations) and the project will not increase such capacity, a satisfactory explanation must be included. Failure to do so may result in the Board not considering the project for funding.	
	Current pressure maintained in distribution system (average and at low pressure points during peak demand), from measurements or calculations. Projects in the minimum pressure maintenance category, documentation of actual ssure problems must be included such as (1) time, date, location, and pressure	
reac	ding data over a period of time; (2) names, locations, subject, and dates of implaints, or (3) other acceptable documentation. Hydraulic models may be insidered, especially when submitted with other acceptable documentation.	
6.	Performance of treatment facilities in meeting NPDWS.	

7		HDWILF14 11/25/2008
7. m	Location and description of Major Users (MU): A MU is one that uses 5% or ore of the average capacity of the DWTP. See V.B and VIII.A.	
8.	Location of served versus unserved areas.	
9.	Amount of water loss due to leakage and location of any known distribution line leaks. The leakage rate should be measured/calculated, or at least estimated if possible.	
	IV. Future Environment	
the	e plan must document that the "no action" alternative is unacceptable by describing future environment without the project (low distribution line pressure, water supply oblems, public health risks, etc.).	
	V. Development of Water Demand	
	nning and design periods must be 20 years, unless justified otherwise in the facilities in and approved by the Department.	
A.	Residential	
1.	Current and Future Population	
2.	For MSDH review, acceptable growth rate documentation must be included such as historical data from recognized sources, active meter installations, building permits, etc. Justification based on speculative growth and economic development should not be included; projects whose primary purpose is to stimulate or provide for growth/development are ineligible under federal guidelines. Demand	
	Per connection demand and peaking factors must be consistent with the latest MSDH/BPWS Design Criteria, Table I and Appendix E.	
В.	Commercial/industrial/major users	
Co	mmercial/industrial/major users	
	ve letters of intent outlining demand projections been acquired from MU's (see B.7) and included in the plan? For MSDH review, demand and peaking factors must be consistent with MSDH/BPWS Design Criteria, Table I and Appendix G	
C.	Design Demand	

VI. Development of Alternatives

The plan must consider all reasonable, applicable alternatives listed below. A brief description of

each, including preliminary sizing information per MSDH/BPWS Design Criteria, must be included. A cost effective analysis is not required. Careful consideration should be given to the need for treatment along with well projects. If treatment is not planned for but determined necessary after construction of the test well, there are no guarantees that a variance to the DWSIRLF Regulations will be granted to increase scope and amount of the loan or that funds will be available for the increased costs, administrative fee, etc.

A.	A. Consolidation: Where applicable, the plan must address consolidation of multiple smaller systems into one.		
	munip	ne smaner systems into one.	
В.	Supp	oly	
	1.	Wells	
	2.	Surface Waters	
C.	Trea	tment	
	1.	Chlorination	
	2.	Fluoridation	
	3.	Corrosion Control	
		a. pH Adjustment	
		b. Aeration (natural or forced)	
		c. Recarbonation	
	4.	Iron Removal	
	5.Cla	rification	
		a. Rapid Mix/Flocculation/Sedimentation	
		b. Upflow Clarifier	
6.Filtration			
		a. Gravity	
		b. Pressure	
	7.	Sludge Handling	

D.	Storage	
	1. Hydropneumatic	

	11/23/2006
2.Elevated/Standpipe/Reservoir	
Storage tank size should not exceed that allowed for credit toward design capacity	
per MSDH/BPWS Design Criteria. Excess storage may not be approved by the Board for funding.	
Board for funding.	
E. Distribution	
1. Mains	
2. Booster Stations	
3. Rehabilitation	
a. Replacement	
b. Point Repair	
c. Lining	
The funding of primarily developmental distribution lines is prohibited. If the	
project includes lines extending beyond existing buildings, does the plan recognize	
that they are ineligible?	
If upstream facilities are owned by an entity other than the applicant, is	
documentation of concurrence included (see VIII.A)?	
The plan must include:	
F	
a. When applicable, a hydraulic analysis unless already on file with MSDH and	
less than one year old.	
-	
b. Confirmation that related, existing facilities will be adequate after addition	
of the proposed facilities.	
F. Other (e.g. laboratory, source water protection easements, etc.)	
Do any facilities appear to be overly complex, considering the size community?	
Has due consideration been given to treatment of alvides/sympositent wests attracted?	
Has due consideration been given to treatment of sludge/supernatant waste streams?	

VII. Selected Plan

Facilities included in the selected plan are as shown below. (Describe supply wells, treatment, storage, distribution rehabilitation, and any other facilities to be constructed as well as any existing

faci	ilities to be abandoned / demolished):	11/25/2008
	Items of Work Approximate Quantity /	Size
	<u> </u>	
Site	e specific information on the selected plan must include the following items.	
A.	General Information	
1.	Reason for selection.	
2.	A planning area map showing the location of proposed facilities.	
	Does it appear that any minority, low-income or other areas have been systematically excluded from receiving system improvements?	
	Has the planning phase EPA Form 4700-4 been approved by the Program Support Officer?	
3.	If the project includes facilities such as sludge handling, wastewater discharge, large quantity chlorine storage, etc. which may adversely affect public health or the environment (not including aesthetics), a determination of whether or not the facilities are located in a minority or low-income area and, if so, documentation that the siting of the facilities is nondiscriminatory. [Re: EO 12898, Environmental Justice]	
4.	Brief description of the effect of the project on surface waters or aquifers.	
	For supply projects, has the MDEQ/Office of Land and Water Resources (OLWR) permit application been submitted?	
	Forwarded to OLWR/Permitting & Monitoring Division (PMD)?	
	Comments resolved (if any)?	
	For new distribution service, does the plan document that adequate wastewater facilities are (will be) provided?	
5.	Where applicable, the sludge management system should be described in sufficient detail to determine feasibility. Will a Solid Waste Disposal Permit be required?	

HDWILF14 11/25/2008

	Refer to the WPCRLF Wastewater Facilities Plan Checklist (PELF20), Section VI.B.3.b.	
	Will an NPDES Permit be required? Refer to the WPCRLF Wastewater Facilities Plan Checklist (PELF20) for guidance on wasteload allocation and treatment requirements.	
6.	Brief description of the nature (permanent or temporary), extent, and necessary mitigative measures of any other impacts, such as noise, dust, odor, erosion/siltation and removal of vegetation.	
7.	The cost of the selected plan must be given in sufficient detail to separate eligible/ ineligible costs (Re: DWSIRLF Reg. Appendices A and B) and determine priority as shown in Section II. Note that land cost is eligible for consolidation and treatment (including production and storage) projects.	
Are	all facilities eligible [Re:DWSIRLF Reg. Appendix A]?	
Do c	costs appear complete and reasonable?	
B. 1.	Special Environmental Considerations: The plan must specifically address the enonexistence of any of the following environmentally sensitive areas and inclusions showing the location. Alternative sites considered and any mitigative measured described in detail if any of these areas will in detail if any of these areas will be directly or indirectly. Archaeological/cultural sites, important plant/animal habitats, wetlands, navigable waterways, coastal zones, and wild and scenic rivers: Comment letters from	ude a map es must be
	applicable Intergovernmental Review (IGR) Agencies must be included. (Re: DWSIRLF Reg. Appendix K).	
	Will an archaeological/cultural resources survey be required?	
	Will a vegetative/wildlife survey be required?	
	If yes, and the Natural Heritage Program's review indicates an impact on existing/proposed threatened/endangered species or critical habitat, the U.S. Fish Wildlife Service (USFWS) must be notified and their concurrence obtained.	
	Will a Section 10 or 404 permit application be necessary? If so, which? If a 404 permit is required, the USFWS must be notified and their concurrence obtained. Will a Department of Marine Resources permit application be necessary (coast projects only)? Will a Wild and Scenic River be affected (projects in Wild/Scenic River basin only)? Does the plan document any actions necessary to resolve IGR comments?	

2.	Important farmlands: A copy of the Farmland Conversion Impact Rating form should be included. This form is completed by the CB Project Manager in conjunction with the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS). Information on this review and any actions taken to minimize impacts on prime or important farmlands should be included.
3.	Floodplains.
	Does the plan document whether or not the project is located in the 100-year flood hazard boundary?
	If so, have alternative sites been considered and/or mitigative measures given in accordance with EO 11988?
	VIII. Financial Analysis
Sum	majority of the required financial information is included in the "Financial Capabilit mary" (Attachment 1). The author may choose to complete the summary and incorporate etly into the plan. Additional information should be added as necessary to include the following
A.	Where necessary, letters of intent/concurrence from interlocal entities and/or MU's (see III.B.6.) must be included in the plan. The plan must outline, as much as possible, the various responsibilities for operating and financing the facilities and include documentation of concurrence by affected interlocal entities. Note that any required interlocal agreements will be due with the loan application. 3. An explanation of how initial Non-DWSIRLF funded costs will be financed (See Attachment 1, Items No. 3 and 4)
	Do all costs, interest rates, and other financial arrangements appear reasonable? Note that the DWSIRLF Loan repayment period may be up to 20 years. If any of the existing debt is with the Rural Utilities Service (RUS, formerly Farmer s Home Administration), has RUS been notified of the estimated DWSIRLF loan amount and asked to verify the RUS repayment amount(s)?
C.	Does RUS concur with the new loan? (Contact Bettye Oliver or Patricia McDowell with RUS Community Programs at 601-965-5460.) An explanation of how user charges will be levied for OM&R, DWSIRLF Loan repayment, and any other loan or bond debt retirement. Have sufficient funds been included for OM&R, loan repayment, and any other debt retirement?
	Is the nonresidential share of costs proportional to nonresidential flows?
D.	Average annual cost per household compared (as percentage) to the Median Household Income (MHI) (See Attachment 1, No. 8): Current MHI information

		HDWILF14 11/25/2008
	is contained in "The (latest year's edition) Sourcebook of Zip Code Demographics". Other credible sources are available and may be used. Also, adjustments to these sources, to more accurately reflect the MHI, may be made when justified.	
	Is the project high cost?	
	If so, have corrective actions been taken and documented?	
	IX. Public Participation	
	e following information is to be included in the plan regarding the public hearing for e Attachment 2).	the project
A. B. C. D.	Proof of publication of advertisement. Minutes, including public comments. Summary of how each comment was addressed. Plan revisions pursuant to comments.	
	Was public notice adequate? Hearing must be advertised at least once 30 days prior to the hearing date in a local newspaper.	
	At the time of Facilities Plan review, has there been any significant change in the project site, treatment type, cost estimates, or user charge estimates as compared to that presented at the public hearing? If so, another hearing may be required.	

FINANCIAL CAPABILITY SUMMARY

Loar	n Recipient	Date				
Proj	ect Description					
1.	Estimated Construction Costs (See DWSIRLF Regs. Appendices A and B for allowable cost information.)					
	,	<u>Total</u>	<u>Eligible</u>			
	Consolidation	\$	S			
	Supply	\$	S			
	Treatment	\$	<u> </u>			
	Storage	\$	S			
	Distribution	\$	S			
	Land Acquisitions	\$	S			
	Planning & Design Phase Services	\$	S			
	Construction Phase Services	\$	<u> </u>			
	Construction Contingency	\$	S			
	Other()	\$	<u> </u>			
	Subtotal	\$	S			
	DWSIRLF Administrative Fee	\$	<u> </u>			
	a. Total	\$	<u> </u>			
2.	Estimated Annual Operation, Maintenance and Replacement (OM&R) Costs					
	Labor \$	Miscellaneous	\$			
	Utilities \$	a. Annual OM&R (new f	facilities) \$			
	Materials \$					
	Outside Services \$	c. Total OM&R	\$			
	Equipment Replacement \$	-				
3.	Funding Sources					
	Total Construction Costs (from 1.a)	\$				
	(-)DWSIRLF funding	\$				
	(-) Grants	\$				
	(-) Other Loans	\$				
	(-) Bonds	\$				
	(-) Other	\$				

4. Long-term Financing

Financing Method	Amount	Interest Rate	Term of Maturity	Annual Payment
DWSIRLF Loan	\$	%	yrs (up to 20)	\$
Other Loan	\$	%	yrs	\$
Bonds	\$	%	yrs	\$
Other	\$	%	yrs	\$

a. Total \$_____

5. Total Estimated Annual Costs

Existing Debt Service	
RUS (formerly FmHA)	\$
Other	\$
New Facilities Debt Service (from 4.a)	\$
Total Annual O.M.&R (from 2.c)	\$
a. Total Annual Costs	\$

6. User Charges

a. Existing Monthly Rates

<u>Usage (1000 gal)</u>	Class 1	Class 2	Class 3	
First (or flat fee)	\$	\$	\$	
to	\$/1000 ga	al\$/1000 ga	ા	\$/1000 gal
to	\$/1000 ga	al\$/1000 ga	ા	\$/1000 gal
Over	\$/1000 ga	al\$/1000 ga	ા	\$/1000 gal

b. Proposed Monthly Rates (if increase is proposed)

<u>Usage (1000 gal)</u>	Class 1	Class 2	Class 3	
First (or flat fee)	\$	\$	\$	
to	\$/1000 ga	al\$/1000 gal		_\$/1000 gal
to	\$/1000 ga	al\$/1000 gal		_\$/1000 gal
Over	\$/1000 ga	al\$/1000 gal		_\$/1000 gal

c. Proposed Revenue

	<u>Use (1000 gal/mo)</u> <u>Avg. Bill (\$</u>	<u>Mo. of Users</u>	Revenue (\$/mo)			
Class	s 1					
Class	s 2					
Class	s 3					
Subto	otal					
ANN	NUAL TOTAL (Revenue Subtotal x	12)				
7.	Source of Funding for Annual Drinking Water Facilities Costs					
	User Charges (6.c TOTAL)	\$				
	Property Taxes					
	Other ()	\$				
	Connection Fees	\$				
	Surcharges	\$				
	Total (must exceed 5 TOTAL)	u'				
8.	Annual Cost Per Household					
	Total Annual Costs (from 5.a)	\$				
	Non-residential Share of Total					
	Residential Share of Total					
	Existing Households to be Served					
	a. Average Annual Systemwide	Cost Per Household				
9.	High Cost Comparison					
	a. Annual cost per household (from 8.a)					
	b. Median household income					
	c. Percentage (a / b x 100)					
	d. High cost threshold		1.5%			
	e. Is this a high cost project (Is 9	.c greater than 9.d)?				

Public Participation DWSIRLF Facilities Planning

Listed below are actions to be taken by a DWSIRLF loan applicant with regard to public participation in facilities planning.

- 1. The applicant is required to hold a public hearing when the facilities planning document has been finalized, but before it has been fully adopted.
- 2. The hearing must be advertised in an appropriate local newspaper at least 30 days prior to the hearing date. The applicant is also encouraged to notify local radio and television stations. The public notice should state the topics to be discussed at the hearing (see 3 below).
- 3. The following topics must be discussed at the public hearing:
 - a. Alternatives considered and facilities to be built
 - b. Where the facilities will be located
 - c. Why they are needed
 - d. How much they will cost
 - e. Estimated average user charges
 - f. Landowners/properties affected by acquisition of property, easements, and right-of-ways
 - g. Environmental impacts
- 4.If any project change is significant enough to require a FONSI amendment, a new public hearing will be required.
- 5.By the deadline established by the Priority System, the DWSIRLF Facilities Plan must contain the public hearing notice with proof of advertisement, the public hearing minutes, and any actions necessary to resolve adverse public comments.

The measures listed above should be discussed with the applicant and consultant at the pre-planning conference.