Trauma Registry Users' Group Meeting
Minutes
January 19, 2011

The Trauma Registry Users' Group meeting convened at the Mississippi Hospital Association (MHA) at 10:05 a.m.

Attendees Present

Carla Anderson	Linda Horne	Susan Perrigin
Janet Basye	Tonia Hughes	Jennie Pryor
Kristin Brown	Leslie Jackson	Kimberly Scroggins
Jon Calhoun	Pam Jamison	Naomi Sigman
Elaine Coleman	Bobbie Knight	Detrica Sims
Betty Cox	Sandy Long	Barbara Smith
Shannon Farmer	Monica McCullum	Kim Terrell
Diane Furtick	Kim McDaniels	Liesl Treppendol
CeCe Goodwin	Carrie McFarland	Claudia Williams
Pam Graves	Robin Montalbano	Lisa Wilson (NMMC)
Aleta Guthrie	Chris Nash	Lisa C. Wilson (USA)
		Teresa Windham

I. Items of Discussion

A. Carrie McFarland, State Registrar gave an update on MTAC/EMSAC/Rules & Regs.
- New Regulation effective November 14, 2010. Burn chapter has been rewritten. Regulations have been posted on the website.
- Trauma Care Trust Fund amount is about $6 million. Collections are about 50% of what they were last year. Both are down from last distribution. Supplemental TCTF distribution will be this month for about $4 million.
- Earthquake Exercise will be May 16-20, 2011. Contact Don Coker 601-576-8235, Pamela Ainsworth 601-933-7187 or Norman Miller 601-576-8095 if your facility decides to participate and get credit for NLE 2011.
- There were seven Level III surveys in September. Issues identified were lack of consistency in activation criteria, lack of physician participation, PI in early stages, and development of more evidence-based policies and protocols.
- The application for the inspection process is being revamped. It has been submitted to Mississippi Association of Trauma Administrators for review. The applications will be:
  - o Level I
  - o Level II & III
  - o Level IV
  - o Burn Center Application

B. Elaine Coleman, State Data Analyst discussed Quality Assurance and the following:
- Data Submission Report

- o Data may be submitted to the State throughout the month.
- o Send your data file to your region after submitting to the State.
- Missing Values Report
- Questionable Values Report
- ISS Summary for Levels I-III
- Monthly QA Response Reply form
- Change/unlock VPN password at https://apps.msdh.ms.gov/mypassword/

NOTE: All users were encouraged to run Quality Assurance reports on their data and make corrections prior to submitting data to the State. Contact State office staff if assistance is needed.

C. Linda Horne, State Data Analyst/Coder provided an E code presentation, discussed other coding tools and shared questions we received during the last quarter as well as answers to those questions.

- Discussed an increase in the use of unspecified E codes relating to Motor Vehicle Accidents and suggestions to help determine the correct selection. Specifically targeting the use of E816 and E819 codes.
- E code Decision Tree – Handout was discussed and users were encouraged to continue using the trees to help with more accurate coding.
- Intent of Injury and Trauma Type Matrix – A table from the NTDB that helps to determine the correct data selections by the Mechanism of injury was distributed.
- Data Questions and Answers:

  Q: If I have a single car MVA, rollover, no collision with any object, on the "cause of injury" pick list do I use Collision NFS?

  A: Use E816.0, MVA due to loss of control without collision on highway, and the cause of injury is overturned vehicle because it was a rollover and no other vehicle was involved.

  Q: This scenario was a driver of a 4-wheeler on a road that collided with a dog and was separated from 4- wheeler. Am I to code this as a MVA or ATV? I have primary the E code as 815.0, cause of injury Collision with animal, and vehicle type automobile is this correct?

  A: Code as a MVA if the patient is on a highway. The code selection is correct. The vehicle type should be an ATV.

  Q: What would you suggest us putting for the E-code and/or Cause of injury? It was a go cart accident and they had some sort of collision but it wasn't a "traffic" accident with another vehicle. Can you give some insight?

  A: Code to the ATV. Use E821.0 and the cause of injury would be collision NFS.

D. Susan Perrigin, North Trauma Region Administrator discussed Performance Improvement from a hospital and regional standpoint.
- She stressed the importance of identifying the PI issue, developing and implementing procedures to address those issues and tracking to ensure those issues are resolved. We want to provide the highest quality of care for the patient throughout the continuum of care phase.
- The State PI Committee's next meeting is January 10, 2011 at 1:00 p.m. The chapters for the State PI Plan have been completed, and the subcommittees are working on completing the appendices.

E. Carrie provided a demo of the QA module in the Registry, including creating a user defined filter. She encouraged users to use the system to drive their trauma programs and also reiterated that we have an excellent network of support across regions and the State Office.

F. Monica McCullum, Forrest General Registrar provided a demo of the new updates in the Registry. Any questions were addressed and registry functionality features were presented.

G. The additional Registry update features were discussed.

H. Other Discussion
- If a Pedestrian has a Collision w/ Auto, user should select "Vehicle type: Automobile", "Position in vehicle: Pedestrian" when populating these two fields in the Collector registry.
- What should the cause of injury be if the injury is an MVC, lost control, did not hit anything, single car, did not rollover? This question will be discussed in more detail at the Registry Committee meeting in February 2011.

I. Upcoming Meetings/Symposiums/Conferences
- Collector and Report Writer Training, February 2-4, 2011, MSDH, Jackson.
- Trauma Registry Data Committee - February 15, 2011, MSDH, Jackson.
- AAAM class, March 24-25, 2011, MSDH, Jackson.
- Coastal Trauma Symposium - April 19-21, 2011 in Biloxi.

II. Next Meeting

Next Trauma Registry User Group Meeting will be held Wednesday, April 20, 2011 at MHA, 10:00 a.m.

III. Adjourned

With no further business, the meeting was adjourned.